



**CLINTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES
CITY HALL
2267 North 1500 W Clinton UT 84015**

**MAYOR
L. Mitch Adams**

**CITY COUNCIL MEMBERS
Anna Stanton
Mike Petersen
Barbara Patterson
TJ Mitchell
Marie Dougherty**

Date of Meeting	August 24, 2021	7:00 PM	Called to Order: 7:00 PM
Staff Present	City Manager Dennis Cluff, Police Chief Shawn Stoker, Public Works Director David Williams, Treasurer Steve Hubbard and Lisa Titensor recorded the minutes. Community Development Director Valerie Claussen attended electronically.		
Attendees	Dereck Bauer, Brandon Stanger, Mike Hatch, Jason Green, Russell Arave, Bryce Wilcox, Rob Elggren		
Pledge of Allegiance	Councilmember Petersen		
Invocation or Thought	Mayor Adams		
Roll Call & Attendance	Mayor Adams, Councilmember Marie Dougherty, Councilmember TJ Mitchell, Councilmember Barbara Patterson, Councilmember Mike Petersen Councilmember Anna Stanton was excused		
Public Input	There was none.		
	At 7:04 pm Councilmember Petersen moved to recess the regular City Council meeting and enter into the Board of Canvass meeting. Councilmember Mitchell seconded the motion. Voting by roll call is as follows: Councilmember Dougherty, aye; Councilmember Mitchell, aye; Councilmember Patterson, aye; Councilmember Petersen, aye.		
A. EMPLOYEE OF THE MONTH FOR JULY 2021 – CHRISTINE HORROCKS, COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT			
Petitioner	Dennis W. Cluff, Valerie Claussen		
Discussion	<p>Mr. Cluff reviewed the information provided by Community Development Director Valerie Claussen.</p> <p>Christine Horrocks joined our team in November 2019. Although she has only been with Clinton for a couple of years, she is no stranger to the Community Development responsibilities. She has over 20 years experience and we are grateful for her willingness to work for Clinton.</p> <p>Chris is currently managing the City’s business license functions. She does so with a smile and has done a wonderful job in streamlining the process so it’s more user-friendly for residents. This includes simplifying the renewal process and assisting with updating applications. Chris has also instituted a consistent internal review process with several departments that need to be involved in business licensing approvals. This process also allows a predictable hand-off to her counterparts, minimizing unnecessary delays since her position is only part-time.</p> <p>Chris is a positive influence in our department and we are pleased to recommend Christine Horrocks as Clinton’s Employee of the month for July 2021.</p>		

	<p>Mayor Adams and the City Council expressed their appreciation to Chris for her efforts and presented her with an award.</p> <p>Chris stated she enjoys working for Clinton City.</p>
B. RESOLUTION 24-21, SUB-RECIPIENT AGREEMENT WITH DAVIS COUNTY FOR CDBG GRANT FOR ADA RAMP INSTALLATION	
Petitioner	Dennis W. Cluff
Discussion	<p>Bryce Wilcox reported Davis County is an entitlement recipient for HUD CDBG funding. Clinton has applied and received funding approval for installation of ADA standards ramps at street corners. The grant is for \$75,000 of CDBG funds with \$25,000 for City local match. These are called “Sub-recipient Agreements” to identify that the City is not an “entitlement” agency, but receiving funding through the County which is one. The funding is for FY 2021-22.</p>
CONCLUSION	<p>Councilmember Mitchell moved to adopt Resolution 24-21 approving the Sub-recipient Agreement accepting a \$75,000 CDBG grant for ADA Ramp Construction. Councilmember Petersen seconded the motion. Voting by roll call is as follows: Councilmember Dougherty, aye; Councilmember Mitchell, aye; Councilmember Patterson, aye; Councilmember Petersen, aye.</p>
C. CHANGE ORDER #8 FOR THE 800 N PROJECT (2000 W TO 3000 W) FOR WORK ON 2600 N	
Petitioner	Dennis Cluff, David Williams, Bryce Wilcox
Discussion	<p>Public Works Director David Williams reported that 2600 West needs to be widened to its full 60 foot right-of-way width by installing curb and gutter and sidewalk and reconstructing the roadway. A portion of 2600 W will be widened with the 800 North project so that the intersection will function properly. We have budgeted this year to widen the remainder of the roadway.</p> <p>The sewer line also needs to be replaced and the storm drain installed on the street. Staff recommends a change order to Staker Parson Companies which is already in the area for the construction of 800 North. This will make the project seamless with the 800 North project.</p> <p>He explained that Staker Parsons is working on a change order but it is not ready to present.</p> <p>The project will be funded from the following budgets: Sewer \$229,200; Storm Drain \$142,000; Streets \$86,700; Total \$457,900.</p>
CONCLUSION	<p>Councilmember Petersen moved to table change order #8 pertaining to the 800 N construction project for work on 2600 N to September 14 or earlier if necessary. Councilmember Patterson seconded the motion. Voting by roll call is as follows: Councilmember Dougherty, aye; Councilmember Mitchell, aye; Councilmember Patterson, aye; Councilmember Petersen, aye.</p>
D. AWARD BID – 730 W WATER AND SEWER LINES	
Petitioner	Dennis W. Cluff
Discussion	<p>Public Works Director David Williams reported the water lines around the new well site have been being replaced and upsized so that the system is ready to handle the flows from the new well. The map attached to the staff report shows the lines that have been and need to be replaced. We are on phase 4 of the waterline replacement as shown on the map. The lines on 2200 North have been replaced and we are now ready to replace the line on 730 West. We have held off</p>

	<p>on replacing the line on 730 West until the Tryxen Meadows contractor could finish some warrantee work. The road is in bad shape and needs to be rebuilt.</p> <p>The developer needs to replace approximately 75 feet of sewer line. Staff has experienced issues with getting them to return and repair the sewer. After multiple notifications and meetings and an initial deadline of July 1, 2021, they have been given a final deadline of September 1st to have the sewer line replaced. If it is not done by then, Clinton will pull funds from their escrow to replace the sewer. We have bid out both the sewer and waterline replacement as part of the bid. Two bids were received. The bid tabulation is attached to the staff report and is listed below:</p> <p>RJT Excavation: \$124,302.51 CT Davis Excavation: \$ \$137,396.40</p> <p>The RJT's breakdown is \$93,082.68 for the water and \$31,219.84 for the sewer. RJT has done multiple projects for Clinton city in the past and has always done quality work. \$96,200 has been budgeted in water impact fees to cover the cost of the project. If the sewer line needs to be completed, reimbursement from the developers escrow account will be sought.</p> <p>Staff recommends awarding the project to RJT construction for \$124,302.51.</p> <p>Jason Green stated he just started working for Link Construction. The problem is an original land drain that runs next to the sewer. The excavator made the sewer work; it was inspected and passed off. After it was completed they used a camera and said there are some bellies in the sewer line. The land drain is something that is an unforeseen issue and cannot be engineer around. He pointed out that in other cities and towns; they pay for unforeseen issues like this. Link Construction has installed a manhole free of charge to the City.</p> <p>Mr. Green stated that Blue Stakes have been called and the sewer will be fixed by September 1. If the land drain is the problem, it will be taken care of as well.</p> <p>Mayor Adams responded that the land drain is still working.</p> <p>Mr. Wilcox explained the preference is to keep the land drain intact for future water development improvements.</p> <p>Mr. Williams confirmed if the sewer line is fixed by September 1, only the water portion of the project will be awarded.</p>
<p>CONCLUSION</p>	<p>Councilmember Dougherty moved to award the bid for the water line replacement for \$93,082.68 and the potential sewer line replacement for \$31,219.84 in the event Tryxen Meadows does not complete the sewer portion by September 1st, to the low bidder RJT Excavation for the 730 W project. Councilmember Petersen seconded the motion. Voting by roll call is as follows: Councilmember Dougherty, aye; Councilmember Mitchell, aye; Councilmember Patterson, aye; Councilmember Petersen, aye.</p>
<p>E. APPROVAL OF PROPOSED PERSONNEL POLICY CHANGE</p>	
<p>Petitioner</p>	<p>Dennis Cluff</p>
<p>Discussion</p>	<p>Mr. Cluff explained the below language is the current personnel policy on retirement with the new proposed language in italics (in quotes). This would be an appropriate show of appreciation by the City to long term employees who are retiring.</p>

RETIREMENT

The City provides retirement benefits for its qualified employees through the Public Employees and Public Safety Retirement Systems of the State of Utah and F.I.C.A. (Social Security). The City pays the required contribution for the employee as is outlined in the Public Employees Retirement System (PERS). The contribution to F.I.C.A. is shared between the City and the employee as per federal law.

The City also participates in deferred compensation programs through the State of Utah. All regular employees achieving an average of 20 hours per week are permitted to participate in these programs. For employees hired prior to July 1, 2015, the City contributes an amount historically provided. For employees hired after June 30, 2015, the City will participate in a matching deferred compensation program through the State of Utah in an amount established by action of the City Council. Consult the City Treasurer for details.

“Upon retirement from Clinton City, and if it is needed, the City will provide COBRA health care coverage to the retiring employee and/or dependents for a pre-determined amount of time based on the number of consecutive years worked for Clinton City as follows:

15 years of service – 3 months COBRA coverage;

20 years of service – 6 months COBRA coverage;

25 years of service – 9 months COBRA coverage;

30 or more years of service – 12 months COBRA coverage.”

There is no mandatory retirement age for City Employees. However, employees should consult PERS' Summary of Member Benefits for further information.

Councilmember Patterson expressed a desire to be fair to all employees.

Councilmember Mitchell expressed concern about the unknown cost to the City. He feels other options should be considered. The City has a very good benefit package already. He suggested finding a way to use the employee's unused sick leave.

Councilmember Petersen agreed that using sick leave may be a good incentive to keep people at work.

Mr. Cluff explained that currently the policy is that if an individual retires with more than 480 hours of accrued sick leave, the City will pay the employee 15% of the number of hours they are over at their current wage.

Councilmember Mitchell stated employees can already get cobra after retirement if they pay for it.

Brandon Stanger stated that entitlement programs are expensive and not a good use of funds. It could be in the range of \$1800 to \$2000 per month for each individual and even more in the future. For long term planning, he feels employees should be responsible to plan for their own retirement.

Mayor Adams commented using the sick leave overlay may be a good way to manage it.

	<p>Councilmember Mitchell suggested that if an employee has over 480 hours of accrued sick leave, they could get a higher percent of their Cobra paid.</p> <p>Mr. Cluff explained that when people retire, they have accrued vacation and sick leave time. Perhaps the vacation time could be credited to the Cobra cost.</p> <p>Councilmember Petersen said he would like to help employees as they retire and feels like the decision on this should be postponed and other options brought forth to consider. He suggested create a single policy for sick leave and Cobra.</p> <p>Mayor Adams stated that whatever the Council decides, the policy needs to be written.</p> <p>Brandon Stanger suggested that the definition of retirement be identified in the policy.</p>
<p>CONCLUSION</p>	<p>Councilmember Patterson moved to table the proposed Personnel Policy change dealing with retiring employees. Councilmember Petersen seconded the motion. Voting by roll call is as follows: Councilmember Dougherty, aye; Councilmember Mitchell, no, he would like to be specific in what staff should look into as an alternative option. Councilmember Patterson, aye; Councilmember Petersen, aye.</p>
<p>F. ORDINANCE 21-02 - AMENDING SEC 27-7-1 OF CITY CODE – SECONDARY WATER PRESSURE IRRIGATION</p>	
<p>Petitioner</p>	<p>Dennis Cluff</p>
<p>Discussion</p>	<p>Mr. Cluff explained the original Ordinance was passed in August 1996 and was needed in order to secure the bond agreement with State Water Resources, Davis and Weber Counties Canal Company and Clinton City, so that the Canal Company could install secondary water service throughout the City. Studies showed it would be substantially less expensive to use secondary water for pressure irrigation of residential/commercial properties than for the City to have to buy huge amounts of new culinary water shares and establish numerous expensive new water reservoirs for storing water for seasonal water use if culinary water was used extensively for pressure irrigation.</p> <p>Subsequently the City grew faster than the secondary water system was able to fully handle and experienced many water pressure and supply issues with the secondary water system. Part of the dilemma was that every one irrigated their landscapes in the evening and night, including the City park systems. In some areas the City’s larger irrigation system drew sufficient secondary water to impact even more of the surrounding residential systems that were already suffering from lack of pressure and water volume. At that time Clinton decided to use culinary water in the new parks so as to not overly burden the secondary water system and its users around the park.</p> <p>About this same time the new water system study was prepared by the engineers to plan for total build out needs of the City. Recognizing there could be the need to use culinary water in all of the City parks at some future time; staff included that potential water use need in the study. An interesting fact is that Clinton does not need to oversize the pipe system in order to accomplish providing culinary water for park irrigation due to less residential water use during the evening/night time period of park irrigation. Clinton would eventually need more water to be replaced into storage from the nighttime water use on parks, but that was also considered in the water study.</p>

This Ordinance amendment clarifies some previous language in Section 1 and also excludes City Parkland from the requirement of using secondary water, though it doesn't preclude that use if it works for a specific park and the City need.

Bryce Wilcox commented on the culinary water master plan and reported that Clinton City has acquired enough water to cover all City parks to ensure sufficient water to cover the needs of the City to build out.

Councilmember Mitchell asked for clarification if the City will use culinary water in the parks when the secondary water is shut off or will they stop watering just as the citizens are required to. He questioned how the proposed ordinance was worded requiring using only secondary water for landscaping purposes.

Councilmember Dougherty responded the result of the discussion from the 20210727 City Council meeting regarding using culinary water in City parks was to prepare for future water needs, but not to implement them. She said the parks belong to the entire community and they should be involved in the decision process.

Councilmember Petersen added that the final decision was to purchase the equipment and install it but not to hook it up.

Mr. Wilcox stated the intent is for Clinton residents to be required to pay for secondary water if they use it or not.

The Council reviewed and modified the ordinance to clarify the concerns identified during this discussion.

The Ordinance was modified to read:

27- 7-1 **Connections Mandatory.** “ The owners of all residential lots possessing or requiring culinary water connections shall connect to the Davis and Weber Counties Canal Company secondary water pressure irrigation system within Clinton City limits, except those lots whose total irrigation needs are served by irrigation water and have an established run-off right-of-way as of April 15, 1997. Owners of commercial, industrial or agricultural lots or other lots using culinary water or having a need for culinary water for irrigation purposes, shall be required to connect to the Canal Company's secondary water pressure irrigation system in Clinton, as reviewed and determined by the Canal Company. The intent of this chapter is to encourage ~~require all~~ residents and private property owners in the city to use ~~only culinary water for household or business purposes and to use~~ secondary water pressure irrigation water for ~~all other~~ landscaping purposes. City parks may use culinary or secondary water as determined by the City Council. shall be exempt from this requirement due to the large demand on the secondary water irrigation system and the effect it has on residential irrigation pressures and water volume. Lot owners shall be required to connect to the secondary water pressure irrigation system within 60 days of receiving written notice from the City that the pressure irrigation secondary water system is available for connection.”

Councilmember Petersen asked for clarification if the sentence as reviewed and determined by the Canal Company is necessary.

	<p>Bryce Wilcox stated he knows of one lot in Clinton who is not required to connect to the secondary water system.</p> <p>Rob Elggren who works for the Davis Weber Counties Canal Company commented there are lots in West Point that are required to provide a disclosure that a portion of their water is provided by a ditch.</p> <p>Mr. Elggren then asked after September 1st when the secondary water is shut down, who the Canal Company should contact if they see sprinklers going or are contacted by residents turning in their neighbors.</p> <p>The Council directed him to contact Public Works during the week or dispatch on the weekend.</p> <p>Mr. Cluff clarified the citizens have been notified not to create cross connections between their culinary water and secondary water systems.</p>
CONCLUSION	<p>Councilmember Petersen moved to adopt Ordinance 21-02 amending Section 27-7-1 of the City Code as amended during this discussion. Councilmember Dougherty seconded the motion. Voting by roll call is as follows: Councilmember Dougherty, aye; Councilmember Mitchell, aye; Councilmember Patterson, aye; Councilmember Petersen, aye.</p>
G. CONDITIONAL ACCEPTANCE OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS AT JP BAR FARMS (LOCATED AT APPROX.2650 N 2775 W)	
Petitioner	Valerie Claussen, Community Development and Dave Williams, Public Works
Discussion	The developer has requested Conditional Acceptance of the public improvements for this subdivision. Public Works has inspected the improvements for this phase and the release of appropriate escrow funds and recommends it enter the one-year warranty period.
CONCLUSION	<p>Councilmember Patterson moved to approve the conditional acceptance of JP Bar Farm’s subdivision improvements and authorize the release of the appropriate funds held in escrow. Councilmember Mitchell seconded the motion. Voting by roll call is as follows: Councilmember Dougherty, aye; Councilmember Mitchell, aye; Councilmember Patterson, aye; Councilmember Petersen, aye.</p>
H. APPOINTMENT TO THE PARKS ADVISORY BOARD	
Petitioner	Mayor Adams
Discussion	Mayor Adams asked the Council to ratify his appointment of Russell Arave to the Parks Advisory Board for a term to end April 2023.
CONCLUSION	<p>Councilmember Petersen moved to ratify Mayor Adams’ appointment of Russell Arave to the City Parks Advisory Board for a term to end April 2023. Councilmember Patterson seconded the motion. Voting by roll call is as follows: Councilmember Dougherty, aye; Councilmember Mitchell, aye; Councilmember Patterson, aye; Councilmember Petersen, aye.</p>
Mayor Adams directed the Council to address Agenda Item J.	
I. DISCUSSION ON BOUNDARY LINE ADJUSTMENT	
A. DISCUSSION ON POSSIBLE CITY BOUNDARY LINE ADJUSTMENT (FORMERLY THE COMPTON DISCONNECT REQUEST) AND PROCESSES REQUIRED BY STATE STATUTE;	
B. CITY COUNCIL’S SEWER SERVICE PROVIDER POLICIES AS THEY RELATE SPECIFICALLY TO THE CRANEFIELD LIFT STATION;	
C. CITY COUNCIL’S FURTHER DIRECTION ON FUTURE CITY BOUNDARIES AND ANNEXATION PLAN	
Petitioner	Dennis Cluff, Bryce Wilcox, Valerie Claussen

Bryce Wilcox reviewed the following information provided in the staff report:

(A) COMPTON BOUNDARY LINE REQUEST

Background

In April 2021, this request came before Council as a disconnect (de-annexation) from the City to the County, with West Point’s intention of annexing it into their city. The applicant, in subsequent discussions with Davis County and West Point, has amended the request to be a city boundary line adjustment between the two cities. The subject property is approximately 24 acres located at the most western border of Clinton City directly south of the Davis Canal. A map of the properties for disconnect is attached to the staff report for reference. [ATTACHMENT 1]

Process

State statute establishes the process for cities to adjust their boundary lines which consists of the following main steps:

- (A) Adopt a resolution indicating the intent of the municipal legislative body to adjust a common boundary

- (B) Hold a public hearing on the proposed adjustment no less than 60 days after the adoption of the resolution
 - a. Provide notice of the public hearing at least three weeks before the day of the public hearing by: posting on the City’s website, Utah Public Notice Website and ten postings at city offices and throughout the city (or by mail to every resident in the City’s newsletter)

 - b. Provide notice at least 50 days before the day of the public hearing to UDOT

- (C) Adopt an ordinance approving the boundary change, unless a protest is received before or at the hearing.

Analysis & Recommendation

Prior to bringing forward a Resolution of Intent for consideration, a discussion item describing the process and the ramifications of the change seems appropriate. There are related policies and specific direction directly tied to this decision, which will need to be decided on regarding sewer service outside of City boundaries and the ultimate annexation plan for the City.

Adoption of the Resolution of Intent for the boundary change is not approving the change; however, it “starts the clock“ so to speak , and sets into motion the next several steps of notification with the end goal being adoption of the Ordinance, which would result in changing the City limits.

The applicant has made a similar application to West Point City and their City Council did adopt the Resolution of Intent for the boundary change at their August 17, 2021 meeting. Staff is not aware of any additional pre-annexation agreements or other commitments from West Point to the developer at this time. It is also anticipated that the zoning of the property will be made known at the time the boundary line Ordinance is approved.

If this item were considered as sole and separate, Staff would recommend that at a minimum a pre-annexation agreement was in place, which would indicate the anticipated and agreed upon type of development and uses of the property, and more importantly provide a formal written agreement of West Point City’s ability

Discussion

and commitment to serve the property with all necessary utilities. What we would not want is a situation where the property left our City limits and then turned around to request services, from an area of the City that was otherwise planned for and able to be served. (For instance, the subject property already lies in the Cranefield Special Sewer Service District boundaries.)

The applicant has indicated that they are already planning on doing a lift station for the development of their property, but need to know how large to make the facility depending on whether or not properties that lie outside of Clinton will be served by the Cranefield lift station.

(B) CRANEFIELD SEWER SERVICE & CAPACITY

Background

The Cranefield Lift station was designed so that it could be modified to serve a larger area than just the Cranefield Subdivision. The pumps and piping are sized for the Cranefield Subdivision and the area west of 3000 West inside our City limits that cannot be served by gravity. The current Sewer Service Area map is attached to the staff report for reference [ATTACHMENT 2]. It is currently operating at about half of the pump capacity. When this area builds out, the pumps and force main line will be near capacity. We can increase capacity at the lift station by upsizing the pumps and adding a second force main. The building has the capacity for much larger flows.

Alternative Actions

We have been asked by multiple developers and Hooper and West Point cities about adding areas outside of our City limits to the lift station. We need direction from the City Council on how to respond to these inquires. Discussed below are some possible alternatives:

- 1) The Cranefield Lift station will only serve residents of Clinton City. If this scenario is selected then nothing would need to be done at the lift station. The pump sizes and force mains will function as they are. We would need to notify the cities and developers that we will continue to allow connections to gravity mains outside of our City limits, but only Clinton residents will be allowed to connect onto the lift station. We would also need to notify those that are considering de-annexing from the City that they will not be allowed to connect to the lift station.
- 2) Annex the areas to the west. The City could **annex** the areas to the north and west that can easily come to the lift station. We can easily supply these areas with culinary water and sewer. This way we are not serving areas outside of Clinton with the lift station.

The area most easily served by gravity fed to the lift station is illustrated (in concept only) with the dashed green line in the Exhibit attached to the staff report. The light yellow is the district's current service area. [ATTACHMENT 3]

- 3) Allow connections outside of Clinton City limits. The city with the connections will be responsible for installing and maintenance on the sewer mainlines to the lift station. Clinton would continue to operate the lift station. The developers/others would be responsible to upsize the pumps and force main to accommodate their flows. This will be significantly cheaper for them than building a lift station.

There is a \$21.70 per month sewer fee from the Special Service District for the

residents. It is not anticipated that adding more residents to the lift station would change the maintenance costs for the lift station and should not increase the operating costs for the lift station significantly. Simply based on the economy of scales, it is possible that if the number of connections increase, the Special Service District fee may be able to be reduced by some percentage and possibly provide a small savings to the existing residents.

(C) CITY’S ANNEXATION PLAN

This week, the City received notice of intent of several properties that currently lie in Davis County to annex into West Point. These properties lie directly west and north of the subject Compton parcels. The City also previously received notice (via email) for some other properties further to the west with the same intent to annex into West Point as well. These are attached to the staff report for reference. [ATTACHMENT 4] This is the first step required in state code for notification of annexations. The next step is the County sending formal notice of these annexation requests to affected entities. West Point City has been told that most of the properties owners’ signatures have been obtained, but they have not yet seen a copy of the petition.

The City’s Annexation Plan [as adopted in Title 4 of the City Code does overlap with West Point’s Annexation map [ATTACHMENT 5 is included in the staff report]. The Council’s direction over the years has been that the City’s western boundaries would end at the West Davis Corridor; however, the environmental document required before a final alignment can be determined has not yet been completed, nor is it in the works of being done anytime soon. The alignment for the West Davis Corridor is only known up to 1800 North (with the actual terminus of the freeway at SR 193 at this time). [See ATTACHMENT 6 to the staff report.] Any guesses to the alignment north of 1800 North at this time is purely speculation.

POSSIBLE NEXT STEPS & COUNCIL DIRECTION

- (A) Compton Boundary Line Adjustment Request: The Resolution of Intent is scheduled to be brought to the Council for consideration at the September 14, 2021 meeting. Decision on adopting or not adopting the Resolution can occur at that time.
- (B) Sewer Service Policies: Will City sewer service policy continue as presently administered that only Clinton residents be served, or will there be consideration for expansion of service after annexation of certain county land to the west? Or will sewer service extend outside of City boundaries (under specific circumstance and agreement)?
- (C) Annexation Plan: Depending on the direction regarding sewer service, is the City Council interested in either pursuing a revision to the annexation plan and/or are there concerns with the pending annexations of what will be the new City boundaries?

The Council had a general discussion regarding the information presented.

Police Chief Stoker stated that public safety should also be a consideration.

The Council was in consensus that residences that will be serviced by the SSSSD will be required to be in the Clinton City boundaries.

	<p>Mike Hatch with Tera Form Development stated as the contractor, they will not be asking Clinton to service the property. The Compton piece is somewhat isolated and is more contiguous to West Point than to Clinton.</p>
<p>J. REQUEST FOR DAVIS COUNTY 3RD QUARTER FUNDING FOR JOINT STREET PROJECT WITH SUNSET CITY</p>	
Petitioner	Dennis W. Cluff
Discussion	<p>Clinton City and Sunset City would like to apply for funding from the Davis County 3rd Quarter Transportation Sales Tax fund for a project on 1300 North from 1000 West to Main Street. This project would be a joint effort from Clinton and Sunset cities to improve the street. This project will reconstruct the roadway, replace curb and gutter and sidewalks as needed, replace waterlines, improve ADA access, and add center turn lanes as appropriate. This project will improve mobility and safety for drivers, pedestrians, and bicyclists along the 1300 North corridor.</p> <p>This project application asks that we discuss the project at a public meeting. Staff recommends that the council vote in support of submitting an application for the 1300 North project.</p> <p>Bryce Wilcox explained 1300 N from just west of the round-a-bout to the railroad is in need of repair. Sunset City would like to file jointly with Clinton City to go all the way to main street.</p> <p>This project will replace damaged asphalt, curb, gutter and sidewalk as well as installing the ADA Ramps.</p> <p>The Clinton and Sunset amounts are equal. There is a 20% match (approximately \$300,000) required. It would need to be used within two years and is planned for the spring. Clinton City will oversee the project.</p> <p>The ductile iron pipe will be replaced before the road is reconstructed.</p> <p>Mr. Cluff added that 1300 N will be used a lot more frequently when 1800 N and 2000 W are under construction in the near future. This improvement will be a benefit in planning for those projects.</p>
CONCLUSION	<p>Councilmember Petersen moved to support the submittal of a request for 3rd Quarter Funding from Davis County for the 1300 North joint project with Sunset City based on it being a good regional project which will be beneficial and advantageous to Clinton and surrounding communities especially when 1800 N is under construction. Councilmember Patterson seconded the motion. Voting by roll call is as follows: Councilmember Dougherty, aye; Councilmember Mitchell, aye; Councilmember Patterson, aye; Councilmember Petersen, aye.</p>
<p>Mayor Adams directed the Council back to agenda item I.</p>	
Approval of Minutes	<p>Councilmember Patterson moved to approve the minutes of the July 27, 2021 City Council Meeting and August 10, 2021 Special City Council Meeting. Councilmember Dougherty seconded the motion. Councilmembers Patterson, Petersen, Mitchell and Dougherty voted in favor of the motion.</p>
Accounts Payable	<p>Councilmember Mitchell moved to pay the bills. Councilmember Petersen seconded the motion. Councilmembers Patterson, Petersen, Mitchell and Dougherty voted in favor of the motion.</p>
Planning Commission Report	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Commission Chair Bauer reported the August 17, 2021 Planning Commission meeting was cancelled.

City Manager	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Recreation Director Bruce Logan is retiring; there will be an open house on September 16, 2021 at Recreation. Reported the Recorder will be attending a conference and asked if the Council was in consensus to cancel the September 28 CC Mtg. <p>The Council was in consensus.</p>
Mayor Adams	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Nothing at this time.
Councilmember Patterson	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Parks Board is still in need of a Parks Board member. The next PB meeting is Sept 18.
Councilmember Petersen	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Nothing at this time.
Councilmember Mitchell	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Nothing at this time.
Councilmember Stanton	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Excused
Councilmember Dougherty	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reported a republican candidate Ally Isom has reached out and would like to visit areas of interest in Clinton City.
Dave Williams	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Nothing at this time.
Chief Stoker	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Nothing at this time.
	<p>Brandon Stanger reported he has heard concerns over the City dumpster program and that the residents, who reserve it, don't always get to use it.</p> <p>Mr. Cluff responded that if the dumpster gets full before the resident who requests it has a chance to use it, he can call Public Works to pick it up, dump it and bring it back.</p>
ADJOURNMENT	<p>Councilmember Petersen moved to adjourn. Councilmember Patterson seconded the motion. Councilmembers Dougherty, Patterson, Petersen and Stanton voted in favor of the motion. The meeting adjourned at 10:32 pm.</p>
<u>ACTION ITEMS</u>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Plaque at the pickleball courts for anonymous donor. (July 2021) Subdivision Ordinance – recommendation for concrete in the park strips along UDOT roads. (August 2016) – Planning Commission Review Bring back Chapter 4 of the Subdivision Ordinance regarding allowing a letter of credit for escrow and researching what surrounding jurisdictions allow (26-4-8). 6g(January 2017) Planning Commission Review Update Ordinance to eliminate pressurized sewer lines in the Clinton City streets on new residential development (January 2018). Create a facilities maintenance plan before the next budget cycle in FY 19-20 (May 2018). Remove river rock from the low maintenance material allowed for park strips in the ordinance (Aug 2019).

Lisa Titensor, Clinton City Recorder