



**CLINTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES
CITY HALL
2267 North 1500 W Clinton UT 84015**

**MAYOR
L. Mitch Adams**

**CITY COUNCIL MEMBERS
Anna Stanton
Mike Petersen
Barbara Patterson
TJ Mitchell
Marie Dougherty**

***This meeting was conducted electronically
Mayor Adams read the following statement.***

**DETERMINATION BY THE CHAIR OF THE CITY COUNCIL
CONCERNING AN ANCHOR LOCATION**

Pursuant to UTAH CODE ANN. 52-4-207(4), I, L. Mitch Adams, the mayor of Clinton City and chair of the City Council, hereby determine that due to the state of emergency caused by COVID-19, a global pandemic, find that conducting a meeting at an anchor location under the current state of public health emergency constitutes a substantial risk to the health and safety of those who may be present in the location. Effective as of February 23, 2021.

Mayor L. Mitch Adams

Date of Meeting	March 09, 2021	7:00 PM	Called to Order: 7:01 PM
Staff Present	City Manager Dennis Cluff, Community Development Director Valerie Claussen, Treasurer Steve Hubbard, Police Chief Shawn Stoker, Public Works Director David Williams, Fire Chief David Olsen, Assistant Fire Chief Justin Benavides and Lisa Titensor recorded the minutes.		
Citizens Present	Dereck Bauer, David Brown, Rob Elggren, Neil Merrick, Karen Kagie, Bryce Wilcox, Rudy Wilcox, Kristina Brown, Sam Tobias		
Roll Call & Attendance	Mayor Adams, Councilmember TJ Mitchell, Councilmember Barbara Patterson, , Councilmember Mike Petersen, Councilmember Anna Stanton and Councilmember Marie Dougherty		
Public Input	There was none.		

A. EMPLOYEE OF THE MONTH FOR FEBRUARY 2021- LISA TITENSOR

Petitioner	Dennis Cluff
Discussion	<p>Mr. Cluff reported Lisa is the Executive Assistant/Deputy Recorder for the City. She holds a Master Municipal Clerk certification. She has been with the City for 17 years. She performs most of the actual work for the City Recorder except for signing documents.</p> <p>Lisa provides a great variety of services for the City Manager, Mayor, City Council and City Planning Commission. She is also our City GRAMA officer, Emergency Notification Specialist, Records Committee Chair, Workers Comp Specialist, City buildings and contracts Specialist and our “social media” monitor.</p> <p>Beyond these various job specialties, she has the time consuming tasks of preparing the agendas and minutes for the City Council and Planning Commission meetings. Lisa also prepares the monthly newsletter. She is especially helpful during budget preparation time and in reviewing and organizing the extensive budget pages. During Election years, as the Deputy Recorder, she is closely involved in the City’s administration responsibilities with the election requirements. In all these areas</p>

	<p>Lisa does an outstanding job.</p> <p>Lisa is a positive force in our office and projects a positive attitude to all. Her strong character shows in her willingness to accept and follow through on last minute requests and tasks. She likes challenges and seems to thrive on hard work. I am pleased to recognize her, and have the Mayor and Council recognize her as Employee of the Month for February 2021.</p> <p>Mayor Adams expressed appreciation for Lisa’s efforts; he presented her with an award.</p> <p>Ms. Titensor expressed appreciation for the recognition.</p>
--	--

B. PRESENTATION FROM CONNEXT FIBER CABLE

Petitioner	David Brown, Connex Owner
Discussion	<p>David Brown stated Connex is a high speed internet and fiber cable provider that is establishing itself in Northern Utah as both a broadband wireless and a fiber cable provider. He explained that Connex has plans to provide the city residents with fiber optics which does not require a guarantee from the City.</p> <p>Mr. Brown went on to say that Connex is a private company. Their intent is to provide residential usage to the entire community. They have low prices and no risk with a 30 year discount. They are on average \$10 to \$15 lower per month than other providers. They would like to establish a franchise agreement with Clinton for interconnectivity. Currently there are 3 price plans with no installation cost to residents for installation.</p> <p>Mayor Adams asked how Connex finances their City projects. He explained Clinton would like this service installed within two years.</p> <p>Mr. Brown responded they have pre-financed the projects to maintain ownership. They build in the order they receive requests. It is very conceivable to build in Clinton within the next two years.</p> <p>Mayor Adams asked about a long term price guarantee with Connex.</p> <p>Mr. Brown responded that in the past twenty years they have only had one price increase. They are customer service and value driven. There is no exclusivity agreement required.</p> <p>Mr. Brown confirmed they are currently working in Farr West and are talking with Kaysville City, Plain City and Ogden City.</p> <p>Ms. Claussen reviewed the following comments included in the CHAT.</p> <p>Dereck Bauer: Just FYI: Those numbers per mbps are directly competitive with the Century Link pricing & around \$13 per month less than Utopia for the comparative speeds.</p> <p>Rudy Wilcox: You don't list upload speeds, are they the same as download? It's hard to find that but upload is just as important for me for home offices.</p> <p>Mr. Brown responded it is synchronous up and down.</p> <p>Dereck Bauer: Also I've noticed that one other carrier is building out just north of us; OpticLoop.</p>

Steven D Hubbard: I like that the price is the same as Century link with the same capacity.

Rudy Wilcox: What happens to the infrastructure if your company goes away? With Utopia the fiber is separate from the ISP, so part of the infrastructure is open to the city.

Rudy Wilcox: When would you be able to start building down here in Clinton? I know you are still ramping up and hoping to get to 1k installations a month.

Steven D Hubbard: No subsidy by the City?

Rudy Wilcox: With Utopia, the infrastructure is separate from the ISP so you have competition from different ISPs to keep monthly prices down.

Dereck Bauer: The sale of the infrastructure after the area went bankrupt or stalled is what happened down in Utah Valley in that the orphaned fiber was bought up by Google Fiber a couple years ago...

Steven D Hubbard: I like what I'm hearing about this particular service!

Neil Merrick: Utopia has more history in putting in Fiber. I don't believe the city should take the risk on a new company's business model.

Dereck Bauer: Did anyone notice that the Fiber provider was doing the actual connection to the house included vs. Utopia requiring payment by the resident from the park strip to the house

Steven D Hubbard: Yes...

Mr. Brown explained Connex owns the infrastructure. If needed, it could be sold in the future. Connex is an ISP and is also working with the most popular ISP's for customer service. They plan to open a 250 meg and a gigabyte plan to other ISP's.

Mayor Adams stated his main concern would be the time table for installation.

Mr. Brown responded they are ramping up their process and plan to complete 2,000 homes a month. He anticipates installation could be completed within the next couple years.

Councilmember Dougherty asked if ISP's will all pay the same price and will that be a disadvantage to healthy competition?

Mr. Brown responded they plan to set speeds and rates initially to avoid confusion. Open networks have tiered pricing; Connex is eliminating that to be more fair. They are working to reduce the cost for other ISP's to join.

Councilmember Dougherty asked if Connex software & infrastructure is safe and secure.

Mr. Brown responded yes, industry standards are being followed and everything is in place for increasing capacity.

Councilmember Dougherty then asked if each home will have a dedicated line.

Mr. Brown responded they do a hybrid system, which is a blend of active and pon. They feel this provides more dependable steady service and has a more minimal

footprint in neighborhoods. It can be converted to active quite easily if necessary.

Councilmember Dougherty then asked how Connex responds to damage done to residences during the installation process.

Mr. Brown stated Connex will repair any damage to residences as quickly as possible. They make every effort to notify the residents of the entire process.

Mr. Cluff commented Connex just installed fiber in front of his home; they did a good job with landscaping.

Mayor Adams asked for clarification if fiber would be available to every house in the City.

Mr. Brown explained they target areas where there is the most interest first and then move out from there. The intent is to provide the service to the entire city. Some cities require developers to install empty conduit and then gift it to the City who would then gift it Connex.

Councilmember Dougherty asked if Connex would consider requiring an open network to maintain the interest for the residents' long term.

Mr. Brown responded that Connex is easy to work with. They are open to adjusting the franchise agreement if needed to benefit all parties involved.

Councilmember Dougherty reported she contacted the Kaysville City Manager who stated he was very impressed with Connex and would not hesitate to work with them in the future.

C. RESOLUTION 07-21, INTERLOCAL COOPERATION AGREEMENT WITH DAVIS COUNTY FOR DISPATCH SERVICES

Petitioner	Dennis Cluff, Chiefs Chilson and Olsen
Discussion	<p>Davis County Communications Services provides the dispatch services for Clinton's Police and Fire. They are modifying their billing format to charge a fixed rate per Police Officer (\$2,499/yr) and continue on a per call basis for the Fire, which is a 1,280 call average over the last 5 years. The City's current Dispatch Fee should cover this next fiscal year's expense. The Agreement "term" is for 1 fiscal year, with cooperative agreed upon extensions not to exceed more than 50 years from July 1, 2017.</p> <p>Mayor Adams asked if this year's fees are comparable to the fees in the past.</p> <p>Mr. Cluff confirmed the fees are similar; the difference is because Clinton added a police officer.</p> <p>Councilmember Petersen questioned if the Davis County Sherriff's Department pays the same.</p> <p>Fire Chief Olsen responded on the fire side, if Clinton gets paged to a call, they are charged.</p> <p>Police Chief Stoker explained they receive an incident number when they respond to a call. If multiple agencies respond, they all get a different agency case number.</p> <p>In the Chat Dereck Bauer commented Dereck Bauer: I believe that you will find</p>

	that the areas who contract with Sherriff's for police services have the dispatch cost built into the cost for Sherriff's to provide police services.																		
CONCLUSION	Councilmember Mitchell moved to adopt Resolution 07-21, approving the Interlocal Agreement with Davis County for Dispatch Services. Councilmember Stanton seconded the motion. Voting by roll call is as follows: Councilmember Dougherty aye; Councilmember Patterson, aye; Councilmember Petersen, aye; Councilmember Mitchell, aye; Councilmember Stanton, aye.																		
D. AWARD BID FOR FY 20-21 STREET RECONSTRUCTION PROJECTS																			
Petitioner	Dennis Cluff, David Williams, Bryce Wilcox																		
Discussion	<p>Bids for the budget year 2020/2021 streets projects were received on March 3, 2021. The street reconstruction project included the following streets:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1600 North: 2615 West to 2850 West • 2700 North: 1600 North to 1483 North • 2400 North: 690 West (Meadows Park) to 970 West • 810 West: 1800 North to 1520 North • Meadows Park: Parking Lot and sidewalks • Cemetery: Access road in older section • 2050 North Trail: Along Davis County drainage channel from 3000 West to Hooper Canal <p>Eight bids were received for the project. The bid tabulation is included as Attachment A. The low bid of \$704,181.50 is from Ridge Rock, Inc. Ridge Rock has not worked for Clinton City before. However, the City has worked with their estimator on several projects when he was with a different contractor and he always completed quality work. Staff has checked references and no concerns have been identified. The projects are funded from several different budgets. A breakdown is in the table below:</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="text-align: left;"><u>City Budget</u></th> <th style="text-align: right;"><u>Budgeted Amount</u></th> <th style="text-align: right;"><u>Bid Amount</u></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Streets Budget (Acct 37)</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$413,400.00</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$380,724.75</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Meadows Park (Land & Water Grant, Park (Accts 64 & 34)</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$93,500</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$89,725.05</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Cemetery (Acct 66)</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$18,000</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$25,277.60</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Asphalt Trail (Davis Cty & UDOT Grants)</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$273,700.00</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$208,454.10</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$798,600</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$704181.50</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Staff reported the ductile iron pipe has been replaced in all these areas. They have been preparing for this project for the past few years.</p> <p>Mr. Wilcox added this is a very competitive bid; the prices are comparable to the prices from last year.</p> <p>Councilmember Dougherty asked how homeowners are contacted.</p> <p>Mr. Williams responded they work with the contractors who then provide notification to the residents and includes the scope and timeline of the project and important contact information.</p>	<u>City Budget</u>	<u>Budgeted Amount</u>	<u>Bid Amount</u>	Streets Budget (Acct 37)	\$413,400.00	\$380,724.75	Meadows Park (Land & Water Grant, Park (Accts 64 & 34)	\$93,500	\$89,725.05	Cemetery (Acct 66)	\$18,000	\$25,277.60	Asphalt Trail (Davis Cty & UDOT Grants)	\$273,700.00	\$208,454.10	Total	\$798,600	\$704181.50
<u>City Budget</u>	<u>Budgeted Amount</u>	<u>Bid Amount</u>																	
Streets Budget (Acct 37)	\$413,400.00	\$380,724.75																	
Meadows Park (Land & Water Grant, Park (Accts 64 & 34)	\$93,500	\$89,725.05																	
Cemetery (Acct 66)	\$18,000	\$25,277.60																	
Asphalt Trail (Davis Cty & UDOT Grants)	\$273,700.00	\$208,454.10																	
Total	\$798,600	\$704181.50																	
CONCLUSION	Councilmember Stanton moved to award the low bidder, Ridge Rock Inc., the FY 20-21 Street Reconstruction bid for \$704,181.50. Councilmember Petersen seconded the motion. Voting by roll call is as follows: Councilmember Dougherty aye; Councilmember Patterson, aye; Councilmember Petersen, aye; Councilmember Mitchell, aye; Councilmember Stanton, aye.																		

E. ACCEPT THE UDOT APPROVED BID FOR 2000 W AT 1300 N INTERSECTION	
Petitioner	Dennis Cluff, David Williams, Bryce Wilcox
Discussion	<p>Mr. Wilcox explained Clinton City was awarded \$970,000 in Congestion Mitigation Air Quality (CMAQ) funding from the Wasatch Front Regional Council for adding right and left turn lanes on 1300 North on both sides of the 2000 West intersection. The project will also widen 1300 North on the east leg for approximately 800 Feet to where the street has been previously widened. The project will widen the west leg for approximately 650 feet to where the street has been previously widened. The left turn lanes will be installed now. The right turn lanes cannot be completed until UDOT relocates the signal poles with the 2000 West project. UDOT will make the right turn lane connection at that time.</p> <p>The project is funded with Federal CMAQ funds and is required to be bid through the UDOT system. The bid results are included as Attachment B.</p> <p>The available funding for the project is as follows. CMAQ Funding: \$969,577 (Clinton City is required to have a 6.77% match or \$70,407 to the CMAQ funding) Street Impact Fee: \$40,000 Storm Drain Impact Fee: \$100,000 Street Account 37: \$200,000 Total Available Budget: \$1,309,577</p> <p>Councilmember Mitchell asked what will happen in the meantime to the right hand lane.</p> <p>Mr. Wilcox responded the curb and gutter will be constructed as the right turn lane exists. It will curve out and be striped as a shoulder temporarily until the pole is relocated. This is what occurred on the 800 N project as well.</p>
CONCLUSION	Councilmember Petersen moved to accept the low bidder as selected by UDOT. Councilmember Patterson seconded the motion. Voting by roll call is as follows: Councilmember Dougherty aye; Councilmember Patterson, aye; Councilmember Petersen, aye; Councilmember Mitchell, aye; Councilmember Stanton, aye.
F. ACCEPT THE UDOT APPROVED BID FOR 2000 W AT 1300 N INTERSECTION	
Petitioner	Dennis Cluff, David Williams, Bryce Wilcox
Discussion	<p>Mr. Williams explained the road salt for the city is stored in a portion of shop 3. The salt storage covers about half of the 60 ft wide x 120 ft long building. Access to the salt is difficult. Public Works has to access the salt through a couple of 16-foot wide doors. Front loaders have to enter the doors and make 90 degree turns to pick up the salt. Staff has been researching salt storage options for a while and believes the best option for salt storage is to construct a steel building on the south side of shop 3. <u>Steel buildings currently have about a 6-month lead time.</u> Staff would like to procure the building materials now so it can be constructed in the fall.</p> <p>The process to order salt has also changed. The amount of salt needed must be estimated and ordered early in the year. The City has to contract to purchase 80% of the estimated amount whether it is used or not. We need the ability to store the salt at our location so we don't pay for salt we can't have delivered. Storm water compliance for salt storage has also changed over the years. The new shed will meet those requirements.</p> <p>Mr. Wilcox explained the ideal layout for the salt shed is a 60 ft x 60 ft building with the entire west side of the building open. Staff has been working with steel</p>

	<p>building manufacturers on options for the storage shed. Matching the existing roof would require a column in the middle and returns on the ends to support the columns. This would make two 20 to 25 foot access openings with a column in the middle. It would also lower the opening height due to large beams to support the opening. It is not possible to match the existing roof and have the 60-foot opening.</p> <p>Staff proposes to construct a 60ft x 60ft steel building with a single slope roof sloping from north to south. This would place the columns on the north and south ends of the building and allow the west side to be open. The building would be 24 ft tall on the north end and 19 feet tall on the south end. This would allow easy access to load and unload the salt. The building will sit on a 10-foot high foundation wall to allow the front loaders to push against. Layout drawings for the building are included in the staff report.</p> <p>Eight suppliers have been contacted. They are all busy and most were not interested in municipal work. Two quotes for the building materials were received: CO Building: \$32,033; and, B Logic Construction: \$45,794.00. CO Buildings is located in Ephraim, UT and has done a lot of quality buildings for other J-U-B Engineer's projects.</p> <p>The current budget has \$45,000 for the salt shed in the streets budget. The rest of the funding to complete the building will be included in next year's budget. Some of the SWPPP Shop yard funding that is set aside each year to keep the shop in compliance with storm water regulations can also be used.</p> <p>The completed salt shed budget is estimate at \$152,000:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Building Materials: \$32,033 2) Building Erection: \$25,000 3) Concrete Foundation: \$60,000 4) Concrete Floor: \$20,000 <p>Staff is also looking into other budgets to help cover costs and also into ways to reduce the overall cost of the building. More information will be ready to be presented during the budget discussions.</p> <p>Staff recommends building material bid for the salt storage shed be awarded to CO Building.</p> <p>Mr. Wilcox explained in order to determine the actual cost, the materials will need to be ordered and an agreement signed. They will require a 25% deposit.</p>
CONCLUSION	<p>Councilmember Mitchell moved to award the bid to CO Building of Ephraim, Utah for \$32,033 recognizing there may be an increase in the price based on industry standards and pricing. Councilmember Patterson seconded the motion. Voting by roll call is as follows: Councilmember Dougherty aye; Councilmember Patterson, aye; Councilmember Petersen, aye; Councilmember Mitchell, aye; Councilmember Stanton, aye.</p>
G. FINAL ACCEPTANCE OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS AT TOWNPOINT, PHASE 1.	
Petitioner	<p>Submitted by: Valerie Claussen, Community Development and Dave Williams, Public Works</p>
Discussion	<p>1) Townpoint, Phase 1 has completed the warranty period. The developer has requested Final Acceptance of the public improvements for this phase of the subdivision. Public Works has inspected the improvements for this phase and recommends the release of any remaining escrow funds.</p>
CONCLUSION	<p>Councilmember Mitchell moved to approve the final acceptance of Townpoint, Phase 1 subdivision improvements, and authorize the release of the remaining funds held in escrow. Councilmember Petersen seconded the motion. Voting by roll</p>

	call is as follows: Councilmember Dougherty aye; Councilmember Patterson, aye; Councilmember Petersen, aye; Councilmember Mitchell, aye; Councilmember Stanton, aye.
H. CONDITIONAL ACCEPTANCE – TOWNPOINT Phase 3 & Phase 4	
Petitioner	Submitted by: Valerie Claussen, Community Development and Dave Williams, Public Works
Discussion	1) The developer has requested Conditional Acceptance of the public improvements for Townpoint Phase 3 of the subdivision. Public Works has inspected the improvements for this phase and recommends the release of appropriate escrow funds and to enter into the one-year warranty period. 2) The developer has requested Conditional Acceptance of the public improvements for Townpoint Phase 4 of the subdivision. Public Works has inspected the improvements for this phase and recommends the release of appropriate escrow funds and to enter the one-year warranty period.
CONCLUSION	Councilmember Mitchell moved to approve the conditional acceptance of Townpoint Phase 3 subdivision improvements and Townpoint Phase 4 subdivision improvements, authorize the release of the appropriate funds held in escrow and enter the subdivision into the one-year warranty period. Councilmember Stanton seconded the motion. Voting by roll call is as follows: Councilmember Dougherty aye; Councilmember Patterson, aye; Councilmember Petersen, aye; Councilmember Mitchell, aye; Councilmember Stanton, aye.
I. RESOLUTION 08-21- DAVIS COUNTY NATURAL HAZARD PRE-DISASTER MITIGATION PLAN	
Petitioner	Dennis Cluff, Fire Chief David Olsen
Discussion	Mr. Cluff explained this is the 5-year update of the Countywide Natural Hazard Pre-Disaster Mitigation Plan. The County has a FEMA grant to work with each entity and look at any present and potential future impacts associated with natural hazards (i.e. earthquakes, floods, high winds, wildfires, etc...) and determine the need or requirement for mitigation action and planning. The Council supported this action by the County in January 2017. Councilmember Dougherty reported that homes built prior to 1975 have a significant potential for loss of life due to unreinforced masonry. FEMA has a program called Fix the Bricks that has been successful in Salt Lake City.
CONCLUSION	Councilmember Dougherty moved to adopt Resolution 08-21, supporting the Davis County Natural Hazard Pre-Disaster Mitigation Plan. Councilmember Mitchell seconded the motion. Voting by roll call is as follows: Councilmember Dougherty aye; Councilmember Patterson, aye; Councilmember Petersen, aye; Councilmember Mitchell, aye; Councilmember Stanton, aye.
Approval of Minutes	Councilmember Stanton moved to approve the minutes of the February 23, 2021 City Council Meeting. Councilmember Mitchell seconded the motion. Councilmembers Mitchell, Patterson, Petersen, Stanton and Dougherty voted in favor of the motion.
Accounts Payable	Councilmember Patterson moved to pay the bills and authorize the Mayor to sign on behalf of the Council. Councilmember Dougherty seconded the motion. Councilmembers Mitchell, Patterson, Petersen, Stanton and Dougherty voted in favor of the motion.
Planning Commission Report	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reported the February 2, 2021 Planning Commission meeting was cancelled. They will meet on March 16.
City Manager	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Budget Preparation is well under way. Budget Workshop –Wednesday April 28 at 5:00 p.m.

Mayor Adams	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Asked Mr. Williams what the cause was of a recent sewer back up in the City. Mr. Williams responded it was flushable wipes. • The Council discussed issues regarding providing fiber service to the City. They agreed they need more information on the two companies that have provided presentations.
Councilmember Patterson	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Parks Board will meet on Wednesday March 17 at 7 p.m.
Councilmember M. Petersen	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Asked staff to consider a City network evaluation for the upcoming budget.
Councilmember Mitchell	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Asked when in person meetings will begin. Mayor Adams responded April 15.
Councilmember Stanton	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reported the street lights in front of West Clinton do not provide much light and asked UDOT to change them to led. • The Youth Council has been meeting periodically while social distancing but and are looking forward to more regular meetings and activities. • Will attend a Sewer District Meeting on Thursday March 11.
Councilmember Dougherty	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Arts Board will hold a meeting on Thursday March 28 at 6 p.m. • Gave a shout out to Chad Petersen from Public Works for his quick response to issues with street lights.
ADJOURNMENT	<p>Councilmember Dougherty moved to adjourn. Councilmember Petersen seconded the motion. Councilmembers, Mitchell, Patterson, Petersen, Stanton and Dougherty voted in favor of the motion. The meeting adjourned at 9:46 p.m.</p>
<u>ACTION ITEMS</u>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Subdivision Ordinance – recommendation for concrete in the park strips along UDOT roads. (August 2016) – Planning Commission Review • Bring back Chapter 4 of the Subdivision Ordinance regarding allowing a letter of credit for escrow and researching what surrounding jurisdictions allow (26-4-8). 6g(January 2017) Planning Commission Review • Update Ordinance to eliminate pressurized sewer lines in the Clinton City streets on new residential development (January 2018). • Create a facilities maintenance plan before the next budget cycle in FY 19-20 (May 2018). • Remove river rock from the low maintenance material allowed for park strips in the ordinance (Aug 2019).

Dennis W. Cluff, Clinton City Recorder

ATTACHMENT A

CLINTON CITY CORPORATION
 2020 Street Reconstruction
 55-20-048

Bid Tabulation

Item No.	Description	Unit	Quantity	Engineer's OPC		Ridge Rock Inc.		Advanced Paving		Post Asphalt	
				Unit Price	Total Price	Unit Price	Total Price	Unit Price	Total Price	Unit Price	Total Price
1	Mobilization	LS	1	\$ 18,000.00	\$ 18,000.00	\$ 1,000.00	\$ 1,000.00	\$ 6,800.00	\$ 6,800.00	\$ 9,000.00	\$ 9,000.00
2	Traffic Control	LS	1	\$ 10,000.00	\$ 10,000.00	\$ 1,500.00	\$ 1,500.00	\$ 4,630.00	\$ 4,630.00	\$ 6,300.00	\$ 6,300.00
3	SWPPP	LS	1	\$ 3,000.00	\$ 3,000.00	\$ 2,800.00	\$ 2,800.00	\$ 1,670.00	\$ 1,670.00	\$ 6,000.00	\$ 6,000.00
Schedule A - Street Reconstruction											
4	Remove Existing Asphalt, Saw Cut Asphalt, Haul Off Excess, Compact and Grade Existing Base Course for 3" of New Asphalt	SY	19,325	\$ 2.80	\$ 54,670.00	\$ 2.60	\$ 50,765.00	\$ 2.75	\$ 53,693.75	\$ 2.75	\$ 53,693.75
5	Asphalt Surface Course (3" Thick)	SY	19,325	\$ 11.30	\$ 224,537.50	\$ 11.61	\$ 226,683.25	\$ 11.50	\$ 224,537.50	\$ 11.15	\$ 217,703.75
6	Imported Base Course	Tons	1,000	\$ 20.00	\$ 20,000.00	\$ 15.80	\$ 15,800.00	\$ 17.60	\$ 17,600.00	\$ 18.25	\$ 18,250.00
7	Lower Existing Manhole and Raise to Grade with a Concrete Collar	EA	20	\$ 650.00	\$ 13,000.00	\$ 375.00	\$ 7,500.00	\$ 475.00	\$ 9,500.00	\$ 750.00	\$ 15,000.00
8	Lower Existing Valve and Raise to Grade with a Concrete Collar	EA	25	\$ 500.00	\$ 12,500.00	\$ 325.00	\$ 8,125.00	\$ 390.00	\$ 9,750.00	\$ 630.00	\$ 16,250.00
9	New Curb and Gutter	LF	33	\$ 30.00	\$ 990.00	\$ 28.50	\$ 940.50	\$ 43.00	\$ 1,419.00	\$ 70.00	\$ 2,310.00
10	Remove and Replace Curb and Gutter	LF	170	\$ 40.00	\$ 6,800.00	\$ 109.00	\$ 18,530.00	\$ 43.00	\$ 7,310.00	\$ 45.00	\$ 7,650.00
11	Remove and Replace 4 Ft Sidewalk	LF	388	\$ 40.00	\$ 15,520.00	\$ 42.00	\$ 16,296.00	\$ 30.00	\$ 11,640.00	\$ 35.00	\$ 13,580.00
12	Remove and Replace Drive Approach	LF	20	\$ 40.00	\$ 800.00	\$ 140.00	\$ 2,800.00	\$ 56.00	\$ 1,120.00	\$ 150.00	\$ 3,000.00
13	Remove and Replace Curb Ramp	EA	16	\$ 2,500.00	\$ 40,000.00	\$ 1,120.00	\$ 17,920.00	\$ 1,620.00	\$ 25,920.00	\$ 1,700.00	\$ 27,200.00
14	Remove and Replace 6 Ft Waterway	LF	198	\$ 30.00	\$ 9,900.00	\$ 27.50	\$ 5,445.00	\$ 65.00	\$ 12,870.00	\$ 36.00	\$ 7,128.00
15	Remove Waterway	LF	33	\$ 10.00	\$ 330.00	\$ 46.00	\$ 1,518.00	\$ 3.80	\$ 125.40	\$ 30.00	\$ 990.00
16	Soft Spot Removal	CY	50	\$ 85.00	\$ 4,250.00	\$ 62.00	\$ 3,100.00	\$ 79.00	\$ 3,950.00	\$ 97.00	\$ 4,850.00
Total Price Schedule A					\$ 434,297.50		\$ 380,724.75		\$ 392,555.65		\$ 409,105.50
Schedule B - Meadows Park											
17	Remove Existing Asphalt, Saw Cut Asphalt, Haul Off Excess, Compact and Grade Existing Base Course for 3" of New Asphalt	SY	3,105	\$ 3.00	\$ 9,315.00	\$ 2.60	\$ 8,073.00	\$ 3.00	\$ 9,315.00	\$ 3.15	\$ 9,780.75
18	Asphalt Surface Course (3" Thick)	SY	3,105	\$ 12.00	\$ 37,260.00	\$ 11.61	\$ 36,049.05	\$ 12.30	\$ 38,191.50	\$ 12.25	\$ 38,036.25
19	Imported Base Course	Tons	130	\$ 20.00	\$ 3,000.00	\$ 15.80	\$ 2,370.00	\$ 20.60	\$ 3,090.00	\$ 20.25	\$ 3,037.50
20	Remove and Replace Curb and Gutter	LF	334	\$ 40.00	\$ 13,360.00	\$ 109.00	\$ 36,406.00	\$ 41.50	\$ 13,861.00	\$ 39.00	\$ 13,026.00
21	Remove and Replace Sidewalk	LF	34	\$ 40.00	\$ 1,360.00	\$ 42.00	\$ 1,428.00	\$ 38.00	\$ 1,292.00	\$ 53.50	\$ 1,819.00
22	New 3 FT Sidewalk	LF	580	\$ 45.00	\$ 26,100.00	\$ 4.80	\$ 2,784.00	\$ 38.00	\$ 22,040.00	\$ 36.50	\$ 21,170.00
23	Curb Ramps	EA	2	\$ 2,000.00	\$ 4,000.00	\$ 1,120.00	\$ 2,240.00	\$ 1,630.00	\$ 3,260.00	\$ 1,800.00	\$ 3,600.00
24	Lower Existing Valve and Raise to Grade with a Concrete Collar	EA	1	\$ 600.00	\$ 600.00	\$ 375.00	\$ 375.00	\$ 390.00	\$ 390.00	\$ 630.00	\$ 630.00
Total Price Schedule B					\$ 94,995.00		\$ 89,725.05		\$ 91,439.50		\$ 91,119.50

ATTACHMENT B

BVI Report

Bid Opening Date & Time : 03/04/2021 09:00 **Region :** Region 1
Project Number : F-LC11(73) **County :** Davis County
Project Name : 2000 West & 1300 North Intersection, Clinton **Delivery Method :** Design Bid Build

Engineer's Estimate :	Bidder Total 'P' Section	Bidder Total 'T' Section	'P' + 'T' Bid Total
	\$972,161.72	\$54,000.00	\$1,026,161.72

Contractor	Bidder Total 'P' Section	Bidder Total 'T' Section	'P' + 'T' Bid Total	Greater than 10% Over, Based on 'P' Total
7339F	\$931,638.85	\$36,000.00	\$967,638.85	N
A75AC	\$1,049,377.60	\$36,000.00	\$1,085,377.60	N
78FB7	\$1,108,820.55	\$72,000.00	\$1,180,820.55	Y
E87F7	\$1,111,076.45	\$72,000.00	\$1,183,076.45	Y

% Low Bid Over/Under Estimate : 95.83

BVI : 0.9098

Bid Variation Index Confidence Levels Used
0.85 < BVI
0.80 < BVI < 0.85
BVI < 0.80